X-ray study of the backbone conformation of a comb-shaped polyacrylate with nematic to smectic A phase transitions
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The change in backbone conformation from prolate to oblate upon going from the re-entrant nematic to the smectic $A_d$ phase is studied in a comb-shaped polyacrylate by measuring the mosaicity of the sample in the smectic phase with X-ray scattering. In combination with the possibility of aligning the director in both nematic phases, the results indicate that the conformational change takes place in the re-entrant nematic phase upon approaching the smectic $A_d$ phase.

1. Introduction

Liquid crystalline moieties can be linked by a flexible spacer (usually an alkylene group) to a polymer chain to form side chain liquid crystalline or comb-shaped polymers [1–4]. Flexibility of the backbone polymer chain and of the connecting alkylene groups is essential to give the mesogenic cores enough mobility to form liquid crystalline phases [3]. Wang and Warner [5] developed a model of comb-shaped polymers in which the competition between the effects of the ordering forces and the backbone entropy is studied. Basic to their calculations is that both the side groups and the backbones possess orientational order by themselves, that in turn can be correlated. Three types of nematic phase are predicted that differ in the relative preferred direction of main chains and side groups. In principle, one could expect that these different directors tend to be parallel ($N_{III}$ phase). Then the corresponding backbone conformation results in a prolate shape of the polymer. This situation occurs when the spacers are flexible, so that the coupling between the director of the backbones and that of the side groups dominates. In the reverse case of relatively stiff spacers the backbone tends to be oriented in planes perpendicular to the side groups ($N_I$ phase). In that case, the overall shape of the polymer is oblate. There is yet a third case ($N_{II}$ phase), which has no further relevance to this paper.

By selective deuteriation of the backbone and using a uniformly oriented sample, it is possible to determine the conformation of the backbone with small angle neutron scattering. Noirez *et al*. [6], have studied the backbone conformation of the polymer PA-CN, which has the following structure and phase sequence:
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glass 32 N, 80 $S_{A_d}$ 124.5 N 132 I

*Author for correspondence.
A transition is observed from an oblate backbone conformation (N and $S_{Ad}$) to a prolate conformation ($N_r$). Using the model of Wang and Warner [5], the N phase can be identified with the $N_l$ phase and the $N_r$ phase with the $N_{m}$ phase. Quasi-elastic neutron scattering measurements of Benguigui et al. [7], give additional support to this interpretation. The objective of this work is to study the backbone conformation of PA-CN using high resolution X-ray diffraction.

2. Experimental

The X-ray source was an Enraf Nonius GX-21 rotating anode generator operated at 6 kW. A standard triple-axis spectrometer was used with Ge(111) crystals at the monochromator and analyser positioned to select the CuK$_{α1}$ line. The longitudinal resolution (parallel to the director) could be described by a lorentzian with a HWHM of $2.6 \times 10^{-4}$ Å$^{-1}$, the transversal out of plane resolution by a trapezium with a HWHM of $2.6 \times 10^{-2}$ Å$^{-1}$, and the transversal in-plane resolution by a lorentzian with a HWHM of $1.3 \times 10^{-5}$ Å$^{-1}$.

To obtain good alignment of the director of the side groups, a magnetic field of 0.9 T was applied by two permanent cobalt–samarium magnets equipped with pole caps concentrating the field. The sample was contained in a sealed glass capillary with a diameter of 2 mm. The temperature of this capillary was controlled in a two stage oven, with a stability of 0.1 °C. Good alignment was reached by keeping the sample for 12 h in the nematic phase just below $T_{Nl}$. The PA-CN sample studied had a number averaged molecular mass $M_n = 18,000$ and a polydispersity, expressed by the ratio of the weight averaged and the number averaged molecular mass, $M_w/M_n = 3.4$ [8–10]. It should be realized that the average molecular weight and its distribution can strongly affect the phase behaviour [11].

3. Results

Between 78°C and 127°C, a smectic A$_d$ peak was observed, the position of which changed from $q_0 = 0.1915$ Å$^{-1}$ at low temperatures $q_0 = 0.1968$ Å$^{-1}$ at higher temperatures. These values are in agreement with some values reported in the literature [12], but higher than $q_0 = 0.187$ Å$^{-1}$ as reported by other groups using low resolution X-ray scattering [6,9]. A second harmonic was found, which has an intensity of 10 per cent (close to the nematic phases) to 30 per cent (around 110°C) of that of the first order peak. A much weaker third harmonic was present around 100°C with an intensity of about 1 per cent of the first order peak. All these peaks were found to be resolution limited in the longitudinal direction. We did not further study the broad reflections at larger angles reported in [6,12].

On cooling the oriented sample from the nematic phase, the narrowest mosaicity was obtained just below the $NS_{Ad}$ phase transition: the value was 0.66° HWHM. Upon further cooling through the $S_{Ad}$ phase, the mosaicity became broader and reached values slightly larger than 2° HWHM just above the transition to the $N_r$ phase (see the figure). Interestingly, once in the $N_r$ phase, good alignment of the sample could again be obtained, by leaving it for 12 h at 75°C. Upon heating the sample up to 80°C in the $S_{Ad}$ phase, a narrow mosaicity of 0.44° HWHM was found. It was essential to leave the sample for such a long time in the $N_r$ phase in order to achieve alignment of this quality. For example, after 1 h at 75°C and subsequent heating to 80°C, the mosaicity did not improve at all. When the well oriented sample was heated from 80°C up to 120°C, the mosaicity broadened to 7° HWHM. These measurements were repeated with different counting, heating, and cooling times, with the same results. This makes it unlikely that dynamical effects play a role.
4. Discussion

According to Noirez et al. [6], the backbone in PA-CN is perpendicular to the side groups in the N and $S_{Ad}$ phase and parallel in the $N_r$ phase. This transition from an $N_r$-like to an $N_{III}$-like local structure would mean that the backbone conformation undergoes a giant change at the $N_r$-$S_{Ad}$ phase transition. In principle, the possibility should be considered that the variations in the mosaicity in the smectic phase are a signature of the backbone conformation already changing in the smectic phase. The observed differences in mosaicity upon heating and cooling then would indicate that the backbone conformation in the smectic phase depends on the temperature history of the sample. This seems rather unlikely, since upon heating and cooling, neither differences in the ratio of the intensities of the first and second harmonic of the Bragg peak nor in the layer thickness have been found. In addition, the variations in the mosaicity are of a similar magnitude to those that also occur in monomeric liquid crystals upon changing the temperature over ten’s of degrees in the smectic phase. In combination with the possibility of aligning the $N_r$ phase, it seems most likely that the transition from an oblate backbone conformation to a prolate backbone conformation happens in the $N_r$ phase during the pretransitional effects associated with the phase transition to $S_{Ad}$. Then the $N_r$ phase can indeed be identified as an $N_{III}$ phase, while in contrast, the smectic fluctuations have the perpendicular $N_r$-like backbone conformation. In that case, the change of the backbone conformation from $N_{III}$ to $N_r$-like occurs with the growth of the smectic fluctuations. From the packing point of view, it seems intuitively difficult to impose a smectic density modulation upon a $N_{III}$-like structure, which could provide the driving force for the change in backbone conformation.

5. Conclusions

From measurements of the mosaicity in the $S_{Ad}$ phase and the possibility of aligning the polymer sample PA-CN in both nematic phases, it is concluded that the change in the backbone conformation from $N_{III}$ to $N_r$-like occurs in the $N_r$ phase parallel to the growth of the $S_{Ad}$ fluctuations.
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